



14 August 2025

**Omnibus initiative: Sustainability rules are essential for European competitiveness**

382 signatories, including 110 investors and financial institutions, 55 companies, 79 supporting organisations and 138 service providers\*, are issuing this joint statement to emphasise the importance of preserving the core of the EU sustainable finance framework. Rules on sustainability reporting, transition plans, climate targets and corporate due diligence are a key foundation for achieving the EU's economic and sustainability goals. Improving their implementation is a priority.

By promoting transparency and responsible business conduct, these rules are conducive to competitiveness and growth, as well as long-term value creation and subsequent returns for investors. Companies that implement EU sustainability rules are likely to be more resilient, better prepared for sustainability-related challenges and opportunities, and more capable of communicating these factors to investors and other financial stakeholders.

In the context of the Omnibus I simplification initiative, we call attention to the investors, banks, other financial institutions and companies across our economy that support preserving the core elements of the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) underpinned by the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), and of the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD).

CSRD/ESRS and CSDDD are essential for achieving the EU's wider sustainability, growth and competitiveness ambitions. They contribute to reorienting investment towards the technologies and sectors that support the goals of the Clean Industrial Deal. They can also reinforce harmonisation efforts for EU capital markets, as set out in the Savings and Investment Union.

The signatories of this statement consider **that regulatory simplification can be achieved without compromising on the substance of sustainability rules or their significant benefits for businesses across the EU**. This can be achieved via the following recommendations:

- *Simplify the ESRS in a way that maintains the double materiality approach of the CSRD, covering environmental, social and governance topics, and ensuring interoperability with international standards and frameworks (including ISSB, GRI and TNFD).*

- *Include companies with more than 500 employees in the scope of CSRD, in line with the scope of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD) which was adopted a decade ago. This will ensure regulatory continuity for companies reporting under NFRD and for companies that have already reported or prepared to report under the CSRD. A phase-in period of 2-4 years could eventually be applied, starting with companies above 1000 employees.*
- *Ensure the value chain cap allows for the constructive exchange of sustainability information between investors and companies.*
- *Safeguard the core elements of the CSDDD and maintain risk-based corporate due diligence, in line with the UN Guiding Principles for Business and Human Rights, and OECD Guidelines.*
- *Maintain a requirement under CSDDD for companies to adopt climate transition plans that include science-based targets with disclosures in line with CSRD. Clarify the requirement to “through best efforts, put into effect” these plans, which should explicitly reference an obligation of means, not an obligation of results.*

For further details, see the **Annex** of this statement (p.10).

**To conclude**, responsible businesses and investors need a clear and stable policy environment to contribute to the EU's goals for a competitive and sustainable economy. Retaining the core elements of the EU sustainable finance rules, as set out above, is necessary for providing the transparency and certainty needed to achieve growth whilst supporting decarbonisation.

***\*Disclaimer:*** *please note that the number of signatories is correct as of Thursday, 14 August. This joint statement is **still open for signatures** and will be updated regularly. Interested organisations can sign until Friday 29 August COB using [this form](#).*

**This statement is endorsed by:**

**Coordinating organisations:**

Eurosif, the European Sustainable Investment Forum

Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)

Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)

Corporate Leaders Group Europe (CLG Europe)

Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)

E3G

**Investors and other financial institutions:**

AkademikerPension

Allianz SE

Alternative Bank Switzerland

Anaxis Asset Management

ansa capital management GmbH

AP7 (Sjunde AP-fonden)

APICIL Group

Arete Ethik Invest AG

avesco Sustainable Finance AG

Axiom Alternative Investments

Bolena Impact Investments

BRIDGESTONE FONDO DE PENSIONES

Brunel Pension Partnership

Cardano

CCLA Investment Management

Certior Capital Ltd

Chahine Capital

Church of Sweden

Debeka

Den Sociale Kapitalfond Management ApS

Domini Impact Investments LLC

Dorval Asset Management

DPAM

East Capital Group

Ecofi Investissements

EmergeVest  
EMH Partners  
Erste Asset Management  
Ethias  
Ethos Engagement Pool International  
Ethos Foundation  
Fachberatung Gaida  
Federated Hermes Limited  
Finance in Motion  
Findos Investor GmbH  
FiNet Asset Management GmbH  
First Sentier Investors  
FONDITEL B  
FONDO PENSIONE MPS  
Funds For Good  
Future Group  
Genesta Property Nordic AB  
GLS Bank  
GM PENSIONES, FONDO DE PENSIONES  
Groupe La Française  
Gruppo Banca Etica (Banca Popolare Etica, Etica Sgr, Cresud)  
Gutmann KAG  
Halton Ventures  
hkk Krankenkasse  
Impax Asset Management  
Inarcassa  
Incofin Investment Management NV  
Invalida INVL AB  
IRCANTEC

ITAS Mutua  
Kampani  
Keepers  
KLP  
La Financière de l'Echiquier  
La Financière Responsable  
LBP AM  
LD Pensions  
LocalTapiola Asset Management Ltd  
MAIF  
Mandarine Gestion  
Maryknoll Sisters  
Mercy Investment Services, Inc.  
Messieurs Hottinguer & Cie Gestion Privée  
Metis Invest GmbH  
Miller/Howard Investments, Inc.  
Mirova  
New Zealand Superannuation Fund  
Next Generation Invest AG  
Nordea  
North East Scotland Pension Fund  
Odin Forvaltning AS  
Ofi Invest Asset Management  
OP Asset Management  
P&V Verzekeringen  
PenSam  
PFC S.p.A Società Benefit  
Phitrust  
PKA

Plan de Pensiones Asociado UGT  
PLAN DE PENSIONES DE EMPLEADOS DE TELEFÓNICA  
Plan de Pensiones Empleados Deutsche Bank España (parte social)  
RESILIENCE PARTNERS  
Ring Capital  
Royal London  
Ruffer LLP  
Sampension  
SICORE Real Assets GmbH  
Siemens Fonds Invest GmbH  
Signature Agri Investments  
Soulmates Ventures  
SpareBank 1 Sørøst-Norge  
Spiltan Fonder  
Stichting Pensioenfonds Medisch Specialisten  
Storebrand Asset Management  
Sycomore AM  
Treehouse Investments, LLC  
Tribe Impact Capital LLP  
Trill Impact AB  
Trinetra Investment Management LLP  
Triodos Bank  
Triodos Bank Germany  
Triodos Bank N.V.  
Unipol Assicurazioni  
United Church Funds  
Value Squared

**Companies:**

Aboca S.p.A.

ACCIONA

Anne Veck Limited

Arbofino AG

Artipack srl SB

Autonom Services

Banka BioLoo Limited

BIOITALIA

BizAway

Cabka N.V.

CG Jensen A/S

CGS SB SRL Teaology Skincare

Comisión de control plan de empleo Atlas Copco sae.

DAWN GmbH

EDF (Electricité de France)

Enetec SpA SB

Eprcomunicazione Spa società benefit

Eurotherm SpA SB

Fairphone

FAMILIA TORRES

Farmacia degli Arsenalì Srl - S.B.

GARC Ambiente S.p.A. SB

GARC Costruzioni S.p.A. SB

GRAFICONSUL SRL SOCIETA' BENEFIT

GROPYUS Technologies GmbH

Hafslund AS

Ingka Group | IKEA

Inter IKEA Group

International WELL Building Institute (IWBI)

Johnny Cashew Nederland BV

Kerakoll

Koppert

Korff Srl

La luce di joy harvey e marco rossi snc sb

Little genius international srl sb

Logitri oy

MAGANETTI SPEDIZIONI SPA

Menu and More AG

Nokia

Oatly

Oikon Ltd. - Institute for Applied Ecology

Revenga Smart Solutions

RHST Industries Inc

Royal LC Packaging International

Salconst

SEBN SE Group

Signify

Soil Capital

Søren Jensen

TDC NET

Third Rock Finland Oy

Up2You srl sb

Vattenfall

VAUDE Sport GmbH & Co. KG

WESCREEN

**Other supporting organisations:**

#WeAreEurope

Accountancy Europe

Acteurs de la finance responsable

Advanced Impact Research GmbH

AfW - Bundesverband Finanzdienstleistung e. V.

amfori

Association for Farmers Rights Defense, AFRD

B4D

Bellona Europa

B Lab

Business for Nature

Business In The Community Ireland (BITCI)

CCOO Deutsche Bank

CCOO FP

CCOO Industria

Ceres

CER Sustainable Business Network

Climate KIC

Coop. I ragazzi della Luna

Corriere2030

Dutch Federation of Pension Funds

ECCO Think Tank

Ecopreneur.eu - The European Sustainable Business Federation

Environmental Defense Fund

ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY

Ethique & Investissement

EUROCADRES

F.I.R.S.T.

Fairtrade International

Fair Wear Foundation  
Federación Enseñanza CCOO  
First Social Life  
Frame the Future  
Frank Bold  
Fondazione Sodalitas  
Fundación ONCE  
Fundacja Rozwoju Społecznej Odpowiedzialności Helisa  
Green Transformation and Sustainability Network  
Heartland Initiative  
HELIOS Foundation  
Impact Economy Foundation  
Impact Europe  
Indonesia National Council on Social Welfare  
Institute Intercer  
Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility  
Investor Advocates for Social Justice  
Investor Alliance for Human Rights  
Lady Lawyer Foundation  
Leaders for Climate Action  
MVO Nederland  
ökofinanz 21 e.V.  
One Ocean Foundation  
Organic Cotton Accelerator  
Rainforest Foundation Norway  
Responsible Business Forum in Poland (Forum Odpowiedzialnego Biznesu)  
Responsible Consumer Forum  
Responsible Sourcing Network  
Reward Value Foundation

ShareAction  
Social & Labor Convergence Program (SLCP)  
Spanish Green Growth Group  
Sustainability Embassy in Romania  
Sustainable Banking Coalition  
The B Team  
TIC Council Europe  
Transparency International France  
Transport & Environment (T&E)  
UN Global Compact  
VBDO (Dutch Association of Investors for Sustainable Development)  
WBCSD  
Wikirate International  
World Benchmarking Alliance  
WWF EU

**Service providers:**

*This category includes a diverse range of companies, including law firms, consultancies (e.g. those specialising in ESG compliance or sector-specific sustainability topics), ESG data providers and proxy advisors.*

17tons SB a RL  
ADVANT Nctm  
Affectio Mutandi  
Agence LUCIE  
Agreena ApS  
A Little Better  
Alpers & Wessel GmbH Wirtschaftsprüfergesellschaft / Steuerberatungsgesellschaft  
ALTIS Advisory Srl SB  
Amapola Società Benefit

Anteo  
Article 13  
Ashvini Bakshi  
Avanzi Spa SB  
Bilanciarsi (Brsi Srl)  
BM Ecosphere Advisory  
Bottega Filosofica srl Società Benefit  
BuyYourWay  
Carbonition limited  
Carbon Removal Consultants  
Clarity AI  
co-evolve  
ComplyTex  
CONSCIENCE  
Cooool Agency  
CORPORANCE Asesores de Voto  
Crmpartners Srl  
Crystal Water  
cubemos GmbH  
DFGE  
Doconomy  
Dunya Analytics  
Ecoacsa Reserva de Biodiversidad S.L.  
EcoAdvisors  
EcoExpert Green Consulting  
EcoVadis  
ECUBE SOLUTION  
EHS 3 Frontières  
Elemental

EQS Group  
ESG Finance and Investment Services  
ESG Portfolio Management GmbH  
ESG Support  
ETICAMBIENTE® SRL SB  
Etifor | Valuing Nature  
Eurocorp Finance SA  
Expatriate Tax Advisory Service Limited  
Ferrario Provenzali Nicodemi & Partners  
Finance for Good  
Focus Lab srl Benefit  
Foxwin srl società benefit  
Framyx  
Frontierra  
Frontis Governance Srl  
Futurcene  
Future Minds  
Generation Impact Global  
GlobeScan  
GOLDMANN & PARTNERS SRL SB  
GOOD MVO Advies.nu  
Governance & Accountability Institute, Inc.  
Governart  
Green Growth Futura GmbH  
Hindsight Consultancy Ltd  
ICEBERG DATA LAB  
Impact Cubed  
IMPACT INSTITUTE  
IMPACTING.today

imug Beratungsgesellschaft mbH  
IR.on AG  
ITER Consulting  
Join Group società benefit di business advisory  
KERAMIDA,Inc.  
Klondike  
Kompaniets Consulting GmbH WPG  
Kristine Nagle  
Labrador Transparency  
Lachaume  
Law4Nature  
LEAN LAWYERS  
LMR-expertises  
Mahindra Group  
Majesty Business Utvikling  
Manuia  
maria concetta rizzo  
Message S.p.A. SB  
Michaeler & Partner  
MI ECO CONSULTING LTD  
MUGO srl Società Benefit  
Narrative Labs  
Natcap  
Natural eco capital  
NatureMetrics  
Nature Squared  
OceanMind  
OPERARI S.R.L. S.B.  
Orobo

PEAK COMPLIANCE srl  
Perpetuitas Advisory  
Planet Fanatics' Network LLC  
Prime Advocates  
Regulatory Communication bv  
RS Law & Society Consulting  
Respethica  
Responsible Alpha  
ROUTE220 SB Srl  
Sal Forest Co. Ltd.  
Samovar Mice Srl - Società Benefit  
SCS Azioninnova S.p.A. - SCS Consulting  
Search On Media Group  
Searious Business  
Seascape Analytics Ltd  
Stone Soup Consulting  
Studio legale Avv. Rossella Sciolti  
Studio Vallone  
SUST4IN  
Sustainability Lens  
Sustainable Transformers GmbH  
Sustain Value  
Sweep  
SWISOX - The Sustainable Marketplace  
Systemiq  
Tanso Technologies GmbH  
TAUW Group  
The 25 Effect  
The Beyond Institute

TheRockGroup  
TransAct Technology Company Limited  
TRUE PRICE  
tetratteam - Nachhaltige Konzepte OHG  
unfold consulting  
UOMO & AMBIENTE S.r.l. Società Benefit  
ValueCo  
Viafuturum GmbH  
VIDA  
Way2Global Srl SB  
Ympact  
z-iro GmbH

\*\*\*

### **Annex: Sustainability rules set the foundation for Europe's long-term competitiveness**

#### **Sustainability reporting rules foster trust between financial institutions and companies**

**The CSRD was introduced to address key shortcomings of the NFRD**, including unclear reporting expectations, low compliance with voluntary reporting frameworks, and poor comparability of disclosures. It expands the scope of companies subject to mandatory reporting and sets clear requirements for disclosing both sustainability risks and impacts. Going back on these developments would mean a regression prior to NFRD and disregarding the lesson learned from its implementation. Reducing the scope of the CSRD would also put first movers at a disadvantage, as many companies listed on public markets and reporting under NFRD would no longer face mandatory reporting requirements.

**Maintaining the CSRD's double materiality approach is needed to meet the breadth of investor data needs and to ensure comparable reporting across portfolio companies.** Risks relating to environmental, social, or governance factors can directly affect the financial performance of companies. In turn, the negative impacts of economic activities on the environment or society are likely to materialise into financial risks both in the short and long term. The CSRD standardises how businesses should assess and disclose these sustainability risks and impacts,

thereby driving better processes and resilience of business models. Clearer communication would increase attractiveness for financial market participants focusing on sustainable investment opportunities, such as productive finance to boost cleantech growth, or long-term pension returns.

**Responsible investors and businesses support simplification of the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS). However, it is essential that the ESRS remain based on a double materiality principle**, and that they continue to encompass environmental, social and governance topics that provide reliable and decision-useful information for investors and other stakeholders.

While the EU must maintain control over its own standards, the ESRS should continue to be interoperable with globally accepted international standards to facilitate access for EU businesses to international capital markets. This is important to meet the breadth of investors' data requirements and to ensure comparable disclosure across companies and portfolios. A good level of alignment between ESRS and ISSB/GRI standards has already been achieved, as evidenced by [ESRS-ISSB interoperability guidance](#) and the [ESRS-GRI Interoperability Index](#), and can continue to be improved.

**Market feedback has shown that it is necessary to go beyond [voluntary reporting](#) to improve the ability of regulators to raise the quality of reported information and to ensure consistency, standardisation and predictability.** The impact of a reduction in the scope of CSRD may reflect on companies' ability to access sustainable finance. For this reason, the scope of the CSRD should cover companies over 500 employees, in line with the previous NFRD. [Recent surveys](#) have shown that a majority (56%) of companies between 500 and 1000 employees have begun preparing for CSRD and would prefer to stay inside the scope. A phase-in period could be considered, beginning with companies over the 1000 employee threshold and expanding in the next 2-4 years to a threshold of 500 employees. This would provide a proportionate solution for these companies while gradually reducing potential data gaps for investors who had anticipated access to a wider scope of company data.

**Additionally, the proposed “value chain cap” is likely to prevent investors from requesting data from out-of-scope companies, beyond the very limited information included in the VSME standard**, which was designed for micro-companies and SMEs. If investors need to make additional efforts to gather relevant information, this could deter investment in small to midsize companies and risks concentrating sustainable investment benefits to the largest EU companies.

**Risk-based due diligence and climate transition plans support responsible and resilient business conduct**

**The CSDDD complements the CSRD's transparency requirements by requiring large companies to conduct environmental and human rights due diligence and to adopt and implement climate transition plans.** By adopting sustainable business practices, companies can better identify and manage the risk of human rights breaches and significant environmental harm in their own operations or value chain, reducing exposure to operational, reputational, legal and financial harm. For investors, these due diligence processes are important for effective risk management and engagement with investee companies.

**The CSDDD provides a minimum level of harmonisation of due diligence across EU member states and aligns with widely adopted international standards** such as the OECD guidelines for Multinational Companies and the UN Guiding Principles. This creates a level playing field and enhances legal certainty, supporting a stable investment environment.

While many EU laws protect against harm at the direct supplier level, the most salient environmental and human rights risks and impacts often lie deeper in supply chains. **A risk-based approach to due diligence is helpful to companies as this allows them to focus on where the real risks are,** building on their knowledge of their own supply chains. By limiting due diligence to tier 1 suppliers, the Omnibus proposal may unintentionally promote the kind of “box ticking” compliance exercises that it intends to reduce.

**Finally, corporate transition plans, including science-based climate targets, are an essential tool in driving the transition to a sustainable and competitive economy, in line with the EU's Clean Industrial Deal.** For companies, they are useful for mitigating their exposures to climate-related risks and can be a source of competitive advantage to develop future-proof and climate-resilient business models. For investors, the CSDDD requirements on transition plans increase the availability of corporate disclosures that are essential for assessing the sustainability risks and impacts of their investments. It also supports their ability to hold investee companies accountable for the implementation of their transition plans. The obligation of means entails that the plans do not become a liability to the company, provided they are allowed to explain when implementing actions are not financially viable or outside their control.

The behavioural obligations under CSDDD [complement disclosure requirements under the CSRD](#) by ensuring the assessed and reported information, e.g. on transition plans, feeds into company strategies and long-term thinking. Combined they provide a coherent and credible framework for transition planning in the EU, which helps investors manage and account for climate related risks, such as mitigating the potential economic consequences of stranded assets.

**DISCLAIMER:**

This statement was developed in collaboration between the coordinating organisations and their members, but does not necessarily represent the views of their entire memberships, either individually or collectively.